(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 24 OF 2003

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Petitioner in person.

Shri A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Shri S. R. Rivonkar, Government Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.

DATE : 5th February, 2004

P.C.:

Shri Pangam states that he no longer appears for the petitioner as the petitioner has discharged him. The appearance of Shri Pangam need not be shown henceforth.

Heard the petitioner who appears in person and the learned Advocate General.

The Contempt Petition alleges that the Order of this Court directing the respondents to dispose of the appeal within four weeks from 14th July, 2003, was not complied with. An affidavit of Vijay Madan,

Secretary (Power), Government of Goa, has been filed in which it is stated that although there has been a delay, the appeal has been disposed of. By way of this affidavit an unqualified apology for the delay has also been tendered. Apology accepted.

2. The petitioner has been informed that he has been exonerated of the charges levelled against him and in fact, the Appellate Authority has only directed that an oral warning be administered to the petitioner by the Chief Electrical Engineer regarding his conduct of resisting transfer orders. It is also qualified in that order by the Appellate Authority that the oral warning would not form part of the record and/or his Confidential Report folder.

In view of the affidavit filed by Shri Vijay Madan, respondent no.1, nothing survives in the Contempt Petition. Contempt

Petition disposed of.

SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.